

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/23/2019 9:00:00 AM

First name: Julius

Last name: Salinas

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The following text was copy/pasted from an attached letter. The system cannot display the formatting, graphics, or tables from the attached original.

I support the No-Action Alternative for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the proposed Alaska Roadless Rule, Alternative #1. I want to keep the Roadless Rule protection for the Tongass because

Economically:

- Logging employment is 1%

- Seafood is 9%

- Tourism is 15%.

Logging hurts fishing. The proposal does not buffer salmon streams. Climate change from logging increases the chances salmon will enter the ocean early when there is not enough food.

Logging hurts tourism. Clearcutting is not what tourists pay big money to travel to see.

Climate change:

- o According to the IPCC, humans must limit global warming to 1.5 degrees C by 2040, or there will be no turning back. In addition to creating a carbon fee and dividend program, like the Energy Innovation Act, one of the best ways to stop climate change is to plant trees.

- * It would take the forest 50-200 years for the amount of carbon lost from logging to be recovered by new forest growth (DEIS 3-125)

Impact on wildlife:

- * Glacier bears, a subspecies of black bear, are rare. The proposal would cut their habitat in half with no wildlife corridor.

- *Wolves: The rare Alexander Archipelago wolf, like Romeo from Juneau, relies on roadless Tongass areas for 80% of its habitat. It needs large areas of unbroken land, and its numbers are declining.

- *Birds: The endangered Marbled Murrelet lives here and relies on large coastal trees. Also, the Queen Charlotte Goshawk lives only in old growth forest in the Tongass and the Great Bear Rainforest.

Indigenous Alaska Natives deserve to continue to use the Tongass for subsistence hunting and fishing. Old growth forests are a limited resource and ecological jewel.

According to the Juneau Empire, 75 percent of Americans support the Roadless Rule which protects America's precious forests.

[Position]

The following text was copy/pasted from an attached letter. The system cannot display the formatting, graphics, or tables from the attached original.

I support the No-Action Alternative for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the proposed Alaska Roadless Rule, Alternative #1. I want to keep the Roadless Rule protection for the Tongass because

Economically:

- Logging employment is 1%
- Seafood is 9%
- Tourism is 15%.

Logging hurts fishing. The proposal does not buffer salmon streams. Climate change from logging increases the chances salmon will enter the ocean early when there is not enough food.

Logging hurts tourism. Clearcutting is not what tourists pay big money to travel to see.

Climate change:

o According to the IPCC, humans must limit global warming to 1.5 degrees C by 2040, or there will be no turning back. In addition to creating a carbon fee and dividend program, like the Energy Innovation Act, one of the best ways to stop climate change is to plant trees.

* It would take the forest 50-200 years for the amount of carbon lost from logging to be recovered by new forest growth (DEIS 3-125)

Impact on wildlife:

* Glacier bears, a subspecies of black bear, are rare. The proposal would cut their habitat in half with no wildlife corridor.

*Wolves: The rare Alexander Archipelago wolf, like Romeo from Juneau, relies on roadless Tongass areas for 80% of its habitat. It needs large areas of unbroken land, and its numbers are declining.

*Birds: The endangered Marbled Murrelet lives here and relies on large coastal trees. Also, the Queen Charlotte Goshawk lives only in old growth forest in the Tongass and the Great Bear Rainforest.

Indigenous Alaska Natives deserve to continue to use the Tongass for subsistence hunting and fishing. Old growth forests are a limited resource and ecological jewel.

According to the Juneau Empire, 75 percent of Americans support the Roadless Rule which protects America's precious forests.

[Position]